VALERIE CAPRONI, United States District Judge:

WHEREAS the instant case involves factual and legal issues that overlap with the underlying litigation between the same parties, 17-CV-8175-LGS-SN;

Defendant.

WHEREAS Defendant, arguing that Plaintiff's claims risk inconsistent determinations on issues common to both cases, has asked this Court "[a]t a minimum [to] stay this proceeding until the conclusion of the [underlying case]," [Dkt. 58 at 5 n.4];

WHEREAS Defendant is a sizeable entity with "deep pockets" from which Plaintiff would undoubtedly be able to recover a judgment should it prevail in this action at some point in the future:

WHEREAS the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be stayed, and how exactly Plaintiff would be prejudiced were this case not to proceed presently [Dkt. 59];

WHEREAS Plaintiff argues, *inter alia*, that a stay would risk substantial prejudice and irreparable harm to the class, which would become more difficult to certify for injunctive relief; that the outcome of the instant case is not dependent on the underlying litigation; that a stay would effectively render Plaintiff's declaratory and injunctive claims immediately appealable;

Case 1:17-cv-07684-VEC Document 64 Filed 08/02/18 Page 2 of 2

and that Defendant will not suffer any prejudice from resolution of the pending motion to

dismiss [Dkt. 60]; and

WHEREAS Defendant contends, inter alia, that a stay will serve the interests of judicial

efficiency, including by minimizing the possibility of inconsistent adjudications; that HSBC will

be able to satisfy any adverse judgment when the case is ultimately decided; that a certified class

is unnecessary for pursuing injunctive relief; that Plaintiff has not sought any preliminary

injunctions that would suggest its claim is time-sensitive, while issues with class certification

will persist regardless of the stay; and that the stay is not a final order that is immediately

appealable [Dkt. 62].

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is stayed pending resolution of the underlying

case, 17-CV-8175-LGS-SN. The Court finds that the instant matter involves factual and legal

issues that overlap with the underlying case, including Defendant's conduct and level of

culpability vel non, which will relate to the availability of indemnification in this case. For both

matters to proceed simultaneously would be judicially inefficient and risks conflicting

determinations. Plaintiff's arguments against a stay do not persuade the Court otherwise.

SO ORDERED.

Date: August 2, 2018

New York, New York

VALERIE CAPRONI

United States District Judge

2